# Survey: 2021 - 2025 PMNCH Strategy Development Survey Phase 2

# Suggested responses for stillbirth-focused organizations

**1. Which constituency are you a member of ? (tick all that apply)**

Adolescents and Youth (A & Y)

Academic Research and Training (ART)

Donors and Foundations (D & F)

Global Financing Mechanisms (GFM)

Health-Care Professional Associations (HCPA)

Intergovernmental Organisations (IGO)

Nongovernmental Organisations (NGO)

Partner Governments (PG)

Private Sector (PS)

United Nations Agencies (UNA)

I am not a member of any constituency

**2. How long have you been a member of PMNCH?**

Less than 1 year

1 year to 3 years

3 years to 5 years

5 years to 7 years

7 years to 10 years

10 years +

**3. Section 1 - Context**

To what extent do you think that the global context has been described accurately?

**Response:**

The global context should include the burden of stillbirths (in the box). Also please add a text box to the Introduction of the strategy stating that: “PMNCH defines Women’s, Children’s and Adolescents’ Health (WCAH) to include Newborns and Stillbirths”. Please also ensure that the burden of stillbirth is always mentioned along with maternal, newborn and child mortality throughout the document.

**4. Section 2 - PMNCH Value proposition**

To what extent do you agree that the strategy describes PMNCH’s core three-fold value proposition? (i.e. thematic focus; breadth of the Partnership; convening power)

**Response:**

No comment

**5. Section 3 - Vision and mission**

To what extent do you agree with the Vision? (‘A world in which every woman, child and adolescent realizes their right to health and well-being, leaving no one behind’)

**Response:**

We understand the concern over lengthening the Vision and Mission statement by adding the word “survival”. However, as the SAWG explained in a recent [Rapid Response](https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.l6986/rapid-responses) to the BMJ collection “Leave no one behind”, one of the reasons stillbirths continue to be left behind is exactly because they are not explicitly mentioned sufficiently in high-level documents. The reasons for the continued exclusion of stillbirth have been well-researched and presented in The Lancet Ending Preventable Stillbirths series.

If “stillbirth” is not clearly included in PMNCH’s vision and mission, the promise represented by PMNCH’s new stated focus on ending preventable stillbirths will fall short. Our experience unfortunately shows that when stillbirth is not clearly mentioned at the highest levels, it is forgotten. As we wrote in our Rapid response to the BMJ “Leave no one behind” collection, silence around stillbirth persists in many important policies and reports, driving the continued lag in progress. The SDGs excluded stillbirth, and we can see the repercussions of this omission in the inadvertent exclusion of the stillbirth rate as an indicator of the PMNCH draft strategy that purports to focus on stillbirth! The BMJ collection on “Leave no one behind” ironically left 2.6 million stillbirths behind by making no mention of them at all in the collection’s 16 documents, including the headline piece by WHO’s DG Ghebreyesus.

We understand there are many competing concerns and interests as you work hard to finalize this document. **If it is simply not possible to include the phrase “with no preventable newborn deaths and stillbirths”, then we strongly urge you to at least include the single word “survival” in both vision and mission.** Consider that current wording, with the phrase “women, children and adolescents”, is not understood by most people to include “stillbirth”. Consider that for a stillbirth, all that is needed is to be born alive—survival. Consider that for a stillbirth, “health and wellbeing” make no sense, as the baby is not even born alive. Consider that “health and wellbeing” presuppose a live birth. It is clear that the vision and mission simply do not include stillbirths as they are now written—because “children” is not understood to include “stillbirths”, and “health and wellbeing” are irrelevant for stillbirths. Including the word “survival” will also align nicely with the Global Strategy’s focus on “survive and thrive”.

The PMNCH’s new 5-year strategy document presents a great opportunity to avoid repeating the error of omission that has contributed to stillbirth’s low global profile, by ensuring that stillbirths are explicitly included at the highest level in the strategy—the vision and mission—as it is the vision and mission that set the tone for the entire strategy. Including “survival” in the vision and mission will demonstrate PMNCH’s commitment to ensuring stillbirths are included in their strategy moving forward.

**6. Section 3 - Vision and Mission**

To what extent do you agree with the Mission? (‘To mobilize, align and amplify the voice of partners to advocate for women’s, children’s and adolescents’ health and well-being, particularly the most vulnerable’)

**Response:**

Same issue as for Vision.

**7. Section 4 - Impact (as part of the Theory of Change)**

Is it correct to prioritise SDG3 targets? And, if so, have the right targets been prioritised?

**Response:**

The problem with using the SDGs to track impact is that the SDGs exclude stillbirth. Please add the following qualifying text to the Results Framework section of the Strategy:

“Whilst no specific target for stillbirths was included in the SDGs, Goal 3.2 (ending preventable deaths of children) also covers reduction of perinatal mortality rates which comprise stillbirths plus early neonatal deaths. This is recognised in the UN Global Strategy for Women’s Children’s and Adolescent Health (where stillbirth is a core indicator) and Every Newborn Action Plan (which set a national target for stillbirth reduction, alongside the SDG 3.2 neonatal mortality target). MNH interventions impacting on SDG3 targets for neonatal mortality, also impact on stillbirth mortality. In view of substantial misclassification between stillbirths and early neonatal deaths, it is recommended that stillbirth rates and perinatal death rates (stillbirths plus early neonatal deaths) are tracked alongside neonatal mortality rates.”

Further, please use a revised text box which includes the ENAP/GS stillbirth rate indicator in the set of indicators that PMNCH will be tracking.

Further, Annex 4 is currently named “SDG targets & indicators (PMNCH impact measures)”. Please change this to “PMNCH impact measures” and add a new section to the table, in addition to the section on “SDG 3 indicators” and the section on “other SDG indicators”: add a section entitled “ENAP/GS indicators” and just put the stillbirth rate there. PMNCH does not need to repeat the mistakes of the past but can instead correct them by including the stillbirth rate as an indicator of its strategy, despite its exclusion from the SDGs.

**8. Section 4 - Impact (as part of the Theory of Change)**

To what extent do you agree with the inclusion of non-health SDGs (e.g. Education, WASH)? (i.e. determinants of health outcomes that PMNCH will contribute to and track)

**Response:**

No comment

**9. Section 5 - Objectives**

To what extent do you agree with the selection and specification of Objective nr. 1: Maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH): amplifying action to reduce preventable MNC mortality and morbidity, including stillbirths, by stimulating the integration of essential WCAH interventions in UHC and enhancing high-quality services?

**Response:**

Our concern with the objectives currently is that (1) they treat MNCH, SRHR and Adolescent health as separate entities, and will inadvertently perpetuate a silo-like approach, and (2) they imply that integration of interventions is only important for MNCH, financing is only important for SRHR, and partner alignment is only important for adolescent health. This doesn’t make sense. Clearly, integration of interventions is just as important for SRHR and adolescent health as it is for MNCH. Clearly, financing is just as important for MNCH and adolescent health as it is for SRHR. And clearly, partner alignment is just as important for MNCH and SRHR as it is for adolescent health.

The need to have integrated rather than fragmented objectives in PMNCH’s 5-year strategy also directly relates to stillbirth. Just like adolescents, and other components of MNCH, stillbirth also requires enhanced and aligned advocacy to ensure continuous progress on financing and equitable access. There is a huge funding gap for stillbirth, which was only mentioned four times in the OECD database for ODA for WCAH in the 12-year funding period from 2002-2013 (Froen et al 2016). Stillbirths are also an issue of equity, as most of these deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries (98%) and in rural areas (60%), and many in conflict and emergency zones, affecting the families most underserved by healthcare systems (J. E. Lawn et al., 2016a), with the risk of stillbirth highest for the most marginalised populations in all settings: social disadvantage is associated with a doubling of the risk of stillbirth in high-income countries, an effect that is likely to be even greater in LMIC (Flenady et al., 2016b). This disparity reflects structural inequalities, including racism and inequity of opportunity. Finally, just like other components of MNCH and adolescent health, stillbirth also requires engagement with, alignment of and capacitation of partners, in support of the Lancet’s Ending Preventable Stillbirths Call to Action and the Every Newborn Action Plan; this need is reflected in the disappointing statistic that stillbirths remain absent in 61 of 90 national plans tracked by ENAP.

We propose the following easy “fix”, which retains the essence of the objectives while avoiding the counterproductive disjointing of MNCH, SRHR and adolescents, which are of course inherently linked.

SAWG proposed objectives (identical wording to current objectives is bolded):

* Objectives to build a movement for WCAH:
	+ **ensure integration of essential WCAH interventions in UHC and enhance high-quality services**;
	+ **ensure continuous progress on financing of and equitable access to comprehensive WCAH packages**;
	+ **engage, align and enable partners around WCAH policy and actions**.

**10. Section 5 - Objectives**

To what extent do you agree with the selection and specification of Objective nr 2: Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights (SRHR): enhancing and aligning advocacy to uphold essential SRHR interventions and ensure continuous progress on financing and equitable access to comprehensive SRHR packages?

**Response:**

Same issue as for Objective #1.

**11. Section 5 - Objectives**

To what extent do you agree with the selection and specification of Objective 3: Adolescent’s health and wellbeing: advancing the health and well-being of adolescents by engaging, aligning and capacitating partners around the Adolescent Health and Well-Being Framework and related policy and action?

**Response:**

Same issue as for Objective #1.

**12. Section 6 - Functions and Partner Engagement**

To what extent do you agree with the selection and framing of Advocacy as a core function?

**13. Section 6 - Functions and Partner Engagement**

To what extent do you agree with the selection and framing of the supporting three approaches? (Knowledge synthesis & application; Partner engagement, alignment & empowerment; Execution of campaigns & accountability for outcomes)

**14. Section 6 - Functions and Partner Engagement**

Are the means for Partner Engagement correctly specified?

**15. Section 6 - Functions and Partner Engagement**

What contributions can your constituency or organization make to the Partnership?

**16. Section 6 - Functions and Partner Engagement**

What membership benefits would be meaningful for your constituency or organization?

**17. Section 7 - Outcomes**

To what extent do you agree with the focus and content of Outcome A: ‘better policies and legislation’?

**18. Section 7 - Outcomes**

To what extent do you agree with the focus and content of Outcome B: ‘more and better financing’?

**19. Section 7 - Outcomes**

To what extent do you agree with the focus and content of Outcome C: ‘increased and more equitable coverage and uptake of quality services?

**20. Section 8 - Governance and Management**

To what extent does the Governance and Management section adequately describe the Governance, Secretariat, Budgets & Work planning processes?

**21. Section 8 - Governance and Management**

Are there any other aspects of Governance and Management that the strategy should cover?